1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA		
2	AT CHARLESTON		
3	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
4			
5			
6	IN RE: C.R. BARD, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION		
7		2.10 112 210 /	
8			
9	IN RE: AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL NO. 2:12-MD-2325	
10			
11			
12	IN RE: BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL NO. 2:12-MD-2326	
13			
14			
15	IN RE: ETHICON INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL NO. 2:12-MD-2327	
16	TROBUGIO BIRBIBILI BILIGRILION		
17			
18	IN RE: COLOPLAST CORP. PELVIC SUPPORT SYSTEMS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION		
19			
20			
21	STATUS CONFERENCE		
22	December 6, 2012		
23	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY E. STANLEY, Magistrate Judg		
24	, magistrate		
25			

```
1
                              APPEARANCES
 2
    For the Plaintiffs:
 3
 4
    MR. HENRY G. GARRARD, III
     Blasingame, Burch, Garrard, Ashley, P.C.
5
    P.O. Box 832
    Athens, GA 30603
6
    MR. BRYAN F. AYLSTOCK
 7
     Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC
     17 East Main Street, Suite 200
8
     Pensacola, FL 32502
9
    MR. FRED THOMPSON, III
10
    Motley Rice, LLC
11
     28 Bridgeside Boulevard
     Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
12
13
    For the Defendants:
14
    MR. ROBERT T. ADAMS
15
     Shook, Hardy & Bacon
     2555 Grand Boulevard
16
     Kansas City, MO 64108-2613
17
    MS. CHRISTY D. JONES
18
    Butler Snow
19
    P.O. Box 6010
    Ridgeland, MS 39158
20
    MS. DEBORAH A. MOELLER
21
     Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP
22
     2555 Grand Boulevard
     Kansas City, MO 64108-2613
23
24
25
```

```
APPEARANCES (Continued):
1
 2
    For the Defendants:
 3
 4
    MR. RICHARD B. NORTH, JR.
     Nelson, Mullins, Riley & Scarborough
5
     201 17th Street, NW
     Suite 1700
     Atlanta, GA 30363
6
 7
    MR. DUSTIN B. RAWLIN
8
     Tucker Ellis
9
     925 Euclid Avenue
     Suite 1150
     Cleveland, OH 44115
10
11
12
    MS. BARBARA R. BINIS
     Reed Smith, LLP
13
     2500 One Liberty Place
     1650 Market Street
14
     Philadelphia, PA 19103
15
    MS. LANA K. VARNEY
16
     Fulbright & Jaworski, LLP
17
     98 San Jacinto Boulevard
     Suite 1100
     Austin, TX 78701-4255
18
19
20
21
     Court Reporter:
                                 Lisa A. Cook, RPR-RMR-CRR-FCRR
22
                                   (304)347 - 3198
                                   lisa_cook@wvsd.uscourts.gov
23
24
     Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript
     produced by computer.
25
```

PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

MAGISTRATE JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you all very much for appearing here today. I have a couple of matters that I need to discuss with you.

First, so that we have a complete record, would you please note your appearances. We'll just go all the way around the room for Lisa.

8 MR. ADAMS: Sure. Robert Adams from Shook, Hardy 9 & Bacon and I'm here for Boston Scientific.

MS. JONES: I'm Christy Jones with Butler Snow for Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson.

MS. MOELLER: Debbie Moeller from Shook, Hardy & Bacon for Sofradim and TSL.

MR. NORTH: Richard North from Nelson Mullins for C.R. Bard.

MR. RAWLIN: Dustin Rawlin from Tucker Ellis for
Mentor Worldwide, LLC.

MS. BINIS: Barbara Binis from Reed Smith for
American Medical Systems.

MS. VARNEY: Lana Varney, Fulbright & Jaworski, for Coloplast Corp.

MR. AYLSTOCK: Bryan Aylstock, Aylstock, Witkin & Sasser, for the plaintiffs. Or it's now Kreis and Overholtz. I'm sorry.

MR. THOMPSON: Fred Thompson from Motley Rice for

1 | the plaintiffs.

MR. GARRARD: Henry Garrard from Blasingame, Burch, Garrard & Ashley for plaintiffs.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE STANLEY: The first item has to do with a family matter. I have three children. My youngest son, Matthew Feinberg, is a lawyer in Bethesda, Maryland. He is at a tiny little law firm called Finkelstein & Goldman, Goldberg [sic], and he is an associate.

They have a pelvic mesh case. It has recently been filed. I can't remember whether it was filed in District Court in Maryland or District Court in D.C. It's one of the two I'm pretty sure. And, so, it will be making its way through the panel to here.

The partners know that I am assigned to these MDLs. I cannot remember the products involved. And I can't -- actually, I can't remember if it's one product or two products and I can't remember which ones they are. I just said, "Matt, you can't work on it. You have to build a Chinese wall."

He is an associate on salary, has no financial interest in the profits of the firm. However, I did want you to be aware of that. And I will be providing to you a copy of an advisory opinion from the Judicial Conference Committee on the Codes of Conduct which address having a family member

who is involved in a case which is, quote, before the Court.

So -- but, basically, all of this information that I am providing for you today I wanted you to have a chance to think about it over the lunch hour and to have a chance to discuss this with your colleagues. I will expect you to communicate this information to those involved in all of this litigation. I want complete disclosure as you deem appropriate.

I don't know what your communication systems are. But my job is to serve the court, to do so in accordance with the Code of Conduct and applicable statutes and regulations. And that's what I'm doing. And, so, I'll provide you that information.

The second matter is a bit more troubling. And, first, you need to have some context.

I've lived here in Charleston for a long time. This is a small town. And it's a relatively small legal community.

I live in a neighborhood which is friendly, neighborly, and

I'm surrounded by a bunch of lawyers. I've been there in that particular house almost 12 years.

The neighbors who are around me who are lawyers, I've known some of them 30 years. When the power goes out, we're more than likely to put all our food together and eat together. And the power's been out repeatedly including in October in the snow which was unheard of. So -- and, and on

the weekends in good weather we help each other out in our yards. It's that kind of a neighborhood.

And my across-the-street neighbor is a fellow named Sprague Hazard who is a lawyer who has done med mal most of his life, both defense and plaintiffs. Within the past year, he went to work -- gave up his solo practice and went to work for Harry Bell. I don't really know what the circumstances are. It was kind of my impression that Harry Bell had an on-going practice area of nursing home abuse, and when he got involved with the transvaginal mesh he couldn't give all of his attention to the nursing home cases and he needed some help. And, so, Sprague Hazard was filling that bill. And that's -- I don't know. I don't talk about his cases. We talk about how come my tree died or who cuts your yard or whatever.

So, last -- this past weekend, nice weather, we're out working in the yard and Sprague needed some help with something. And then he said, "I have an e-mail for you from Harry Bell." And I didn't think much about it. And then he provided me the e-mail.

And, so, I'm going to pass all this stuff out and you'll have a chance to see it. I need one of those.

(Pause)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE STANLEY: I've also given you a copy of Title 28, U.S. Code, Sections 144 and 455. I'll

give you a minute to scan over that e-mail.

(Pause)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE STANLEY: As you can imagine, I was very concerned when I read this. And there are several things that I think are important as far as these MDLs go.

We have a potentially completely unwieldy circumstance with five MDLs in a relatively small court with limited resources. And we do the very best we can to stay on top of things and get matters ruled upon and well organized. And part of it is because of experience and familiarity.

And I know that you know that Judge Goodwin and I hold leadership counsel in the highest regard, and that we have completely understood that it was important for you to feel that you could contact chambers to work out problems or to give us a heads up if you think that there's going to be a problem and whether or not — should, should we file a motion or should we just wait for the next status conference or whatever.

It's very important for you to continue to feel that you can contact chambers to get help so that things don't become completely unwieldy and out of control.

This particular e-mail, which I guess I have to file on the record in each MDL, though I wasn't -- I'll welcome your advice in a minute -- shows a fundamental misunderstanding of my role.

I mean, I serve the court. I am duty-bound to serve the court until I retire. And thereafter if Judge Goodwin needs me, we will work on that. But it is not just simply the question of whether or not somebody serves as a special master or a recall magistrate judge or anything else. It's way more complicated than that. It involves important decisions regarding HR matters and ethical considerations. It's not just as simple as some lawyers might think.

The other thing that was very troubling about this is that it was accompanied by a remark along the lines of Sprague said to me, "Harry says you can really get rich on this."

And, so, let me just say that there is strong evidence of a complete lack of recognition of the issues involved here. I assume that the three lead -- leadership counsel among the plaintiffs did not suggest that Harry Bell do this.

MR. AYLSTOCK: That is correct, Your Honor.

MR. GARRARD: Correct, Your Honor.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE STANLEY: And I never thought that you did.

And I'm also sure that counsel as a whole didn't do this. I think Mr. Bell in his clumsy way was trying to be helpful. But I think any reasonable person reading this e-mail would consider it to be an ex parte contact with a

very strong suggestion that the person is trying to influence an impartial judicial officer.

So, of course, I showed it to Judge Goodwin so that if he just wanted to throw me off, he could. You know he doesn't like to do that. He wholeheartedly supports my meeting with you.

I, I want the, the sunshine to beam down on this issue.

I want you to have a chance to think about it, to review it with the other materials that I have given you, to consult among yourselves as you wish and with other people who would have an interest.

You will note that there are no Charleston lawyers in this room, and that was on purpose. I have not taken offense about this matter because, frankly, I don't think it was a planned attempt to do what -- how it reads, in other words.

So -- now, I'm not expecting a response now. I mean, what's your thought -- I would be interested in your thoughts about whether this should just be filed on the record in each MDL. And if you want to think about that until later in the afternoon, that's okay too.

The problem is, obviously, it has people's names, but it is what it is. And I am going to be asking the court reporter to transcribe this little session and to file it in each MDL so that anybody who wants to go on line and look at

any of this stuff, they can.

And that's what I have to say. So, would you-all like to go eat some lunch?

MR. ADAMS: That would be great. I think everybody appreciates -- yeah, everybody appreciates your candor. And I think this is a really difficult issue that clearly you didn't bring on. So, on behalf of everybody, thank you.

MS. JONES: We do appreciate it.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE STANLEY: I think any judge would have done the same thing. And if I had known what the e-mail said, I wouldn't have taken it and read it. But, you know, once that bell's rung -- I'm just glad that the status conference is taking place so quickly and that I had an opportunity to speak with you.

MS. JONES: Well, thank you, Judge. We do appreciate it and echo what Rob said.

Let me ask one question because I don't know how the Court feels about it and, obviously, we need to talk about this. And I understand your concern about placing on the record the, the e-mail itself given the fact that it identifies people and all that.

My question is, if we were to ask, can you -- does, does the Court have an objection to placing it on the record in a sealed form so it's there but it's not, it's not there?

```
1
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE STANLEY: We have a very strict
2
     local rule about sealing things. And I cannot think that
3
    this particular matter is worthy of the special treatment.
 4
     I mean, if the newspaper wants to get ahold of this -- I
5
    mean, they've shown an absolute lack of interest in any of
6
    this.
7
               MS. JONES: Uh-huh.
8
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE STANLEY: But, I mean, this is
9
    all out in the light of day. And every lawyer, every party,
10
     all 8,000 of them, have the right to challenge my
11
    participation.
12
               MS. JONES: I appreciate that, Judge. Thank you.
13
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE STANLEY: So, anyway, I'll
14
    welcome your thoughts after lunch if you care to share them.
15
    And it can either be at the status conference or it can be
16
    by letter with copy to the others or e-mail by copy to
17
     others or anything else, whatever you want to do. But --
18
     okay?
19
               MS. JONES: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
20
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE STANLEY: Okay.
21
          (Proceedings concluded at 11:50 a.m.)
22
23
24
25
```

1	I, Lisa A. Cook, Official Reporter of the United	
2	States District Court for the Southern District of West	
3	Virginia, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and	
4	correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the	
5	record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.	
6		
7		
8	s\Lisa A. Cook December 10, 2012	
9	Reporter Date	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		